

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
AIKEN DIVISION

Kevin Wayne McDaniels, #254398,

C.A. No.: 1:12-6-TLW-SVH

Plaintiff,

vs.

Richland Co. Public Defenders Office;
Richland Co. Solicitors Office;
Honorable Judge Cooper; Richland Co.
Sheriff's Dept.; Sgt. McDaniels; William
Byars, Jr.; B.J. McKie; Monica Counts;
Tim Riley; Greenville Co. Sheriff's
Office; Mary Fields; Benjamin T. Stepp;
AUSA A. Lance Crick; Honorable
Henry F. Floyd; US Dept of Justice,
Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms &
Explosives; Randal Beach; Eric Holder,
Jr., U.S. Attorney General; Lexington
Co. Sheriff's Dept.; Nikki Haley,
Governor of South Carolina; Chris
Florian; Alan Wilson; Kevin Owens;
Barry Barnett; Robert B. Hall; Richard
B. Ives; D.B. Drew; Terry O'Brien;
Matthew Zagula; RT Lowry, MD; A.
Bryant, PA; Neil Stephens, RN; J.
Vazquez, MD; Edgar Morales; Walter
Dobushak; Mr. Crawford, MD; Irwin
Fish, MD; Dr. Massa, MD; Dr. Loranth,
MD; Mrs. Brown, PA; Toumey Regional
Medical Center; Palmetto Richland
Memorial Hospital; Baptist Regional
Medical Ctr; Chuck Wright; Tim Tucker;
Detective Easler; Dr. Beinor; being sued
in their individual and official capacity,

Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In her Report, Magistrate Judge Hodges recommends that Plaintiff’s motion for a temporary restraining order and mandatory injunction (Doc. # 5) be denied. (Doc. # 23). No objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge’s Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 23); and Plaintiff’s motion for a temporary restraining order and mandatory injunction (Doc. # 5) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

May 2, 2012
Florence, South Carolina